

Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP 2550 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

O +1 202 457 6000 F +1 202 457 6315 squirepattonboggs.com

Glenn M. Willard T +1 202 457 6559 glenn.willard@squirepb.com

June 17, 2015

Federal Election Commission
Office of Complaints Examination & Legal Administration
Attn: Mary Beth deBeau
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20436



Digitally signed by CELA Sta \_Mary Beth deBeau DN: cn=CELA Stamp \_ Mary Beth deBeau, o=Federal Election Commission, ou=Or email=mdebeau@fec.gov, c=US Date: 2015.06.25 13:19:30 -04'00'

Re: RR 15L-12

Dear Ms. deBeau

The House Conservatives Fund (HCF) and Lisa Lisker, as treasurer, hereby respond by counsel to the Reports Analysis Division Referral 15L-12, dated May 8, 2015.

The referral accurately states the facts contained therein, which describe HCF's receipt of a partial restitution check via the U.S. Treasury, which HCF disclosed in its 2014 Year-End Report, as well as an amendment when the Treasury Department disclosed to the treasurer more information. Lisa Lisker Declaration, ¶ 3.

HCF had retained an outside "caging" vendor, Stonewood Marketing, owner Ken Pate, to process all contributions HCF received by direct mail. ¶ 4. The vendor facilitated the embezzlement of HCF's funds by opening, and depositing contribution checks into, a separate bank account in HCF's name, for which he had signature authority. ¶ 5.

HCF's treasurer discovered the theft when a contributor to another client, which had retained the same vendor, indicated that she had not received a customary thank-you acknowledgment for her contribution. The treasurer had been unaware of this or any other embezzled contribution. ¶ 6. Review of the contributor's cancelled check and follow-up with the client's bank revealed the aforementioned method of embezzlement of both the other client's and HCF's funds. ¶ 7.

To date, the Treasury Department has not disclosed to HCF the embezzled contributions. HCF will file an amended report(s) when it receives contributor data. ¶ 8. HCF has since retained another caging vendor to process direct mail contributions, which does not have signature authority. ¶ 9.

Given that HCF has taken measures to prevent future embezzlement by its direct mail processing vendor, and nothing more can be done short of frustrating the purpose in retaining a direct mail processer vendor, the committee requests that the referral be dismissed with a

Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP is part of the international legal practice Squire Patton Boggs, which operates worldwide through a number of separate legal entities.

Please visit squirepattonboggs.com for more information, 4833-3905-7956.1.

finding that there is no reason to believe that the committee or its treasurer violated the Federal Election Campaign Act, as amended.

Best regards,

Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP

Glenn M. Willard